



FUZZY K-IDEAL IN BCI-ALGEBRAS

Alireza Gilani

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University
South Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran

gilanial@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper with the notion of fuzzy k-ideal of BCI-algebras, we discuss and review several results described in BCI-algebras.

KEYWORDS: BCI-algebra, k-deal, fuzzy ideal, fuzzy a-ideal.

1 INTRODUCTION

The class of BCI-algebras which introduced by Iseki [7] is an important class of logical algebras which has two origins. One of the motivations for their use is based on set theory, the other on classical and non-classical propositional calculus. The concept of fuzzy sets introduced by Zadeh [8] was applied to BCI-algebra by Xi[3]. Since then many researchers have investigated various properties of these algebras. Chun et al.[2] constructed an extension of a k- semi ring and studied a k-ideal of a k-semi ring.

2 PRELIMINARIES

First we present the fundamental definition. By a BCI-algebra we mean a nonempty set X with a binary operation $*$ and constant 0 satisfying the following conditions

- (i) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
- (ii) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
- (iii) $x * x = 0$,
- (iv) $x * 0 = 0$,
- (v) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply that $x = y$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A partial ordering " \leq " on X can be defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$. A nonempty subset S of a BCI-algebra X is called a subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$ whenever $x, y \in S$.

Definition 2.1: A nonempty subset I of a BCI-algebra X is called an ideal of X if;

- (i) $0 \in I$, for all $x \in X$
- (ii) $(x * y) \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$ for all $x, y \in X$.

By a fuzzy set μ in a nonempty set X we mean a function $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of BCI-algebras is called homomorphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Let $\text{Im}(\mu)$ denote the image set of μ . We will write $x \wedge y$ by $\min\{x, y\}$, and $x \vee y$ for $\max\{x, y\}$, where x, y are real numbers. Given a fuzzy set μ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let $U(\mu, t) = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$, $U(\mu, t)$ is called level subset of μ .

Definition 2.2: Let X be a BCI-algebra. A non-empty subset I of X is called k -ideal in X if :

- (i) $0 \in I$,
- (ii) $(x * y) \vee (y * x) \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Definition 2.3: For any x, y in BCI-algebra X ,

- (i) if $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$, then μ is said to be a fuzzy sub algebras.
- (ii) if $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ and $\mu(x) \geq \mu(x * y) \wedge \mu(y)$, then μ is called a fuzzy ideal of X .

Definition 2.4: A fuzzy set μ is called fuzzy k -ideal if for all $x, y \in X$;

- (F1) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$
- (F2) $\mu(x) \geq \mu((x * y) \vee \mu(y * x)) \wedge \mu(y)$

3. Main Results

In what follows, let X denote a BCI-algebra unless otherwise specified.

Theorem1. A fuzzy set μ of a BCI-algebra X is a fuzzy k -ideal of X if and only if for any $t \in [0, 1]$, $U(\mu, t)$ is either empty or a k -ideal of X .

Proof. Let μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X . Then by definition $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

Therefore, $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \geq t$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ or $\mu(0) \geq t$ implies $0 \in U(\mu, t)$.

Let $(x * y) \vee (y * x) \in U(\mu, t)$. Then $\mu(x * y) \geq t$ or $\mu(y) \geq t$. Then $(\mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y * x)) \geq t$ and $\mu(y) \geq t$. Since μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X , therefore for all $x, y \in X$,

$\mu(x) \geq (\mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y * x)) \wedge \mu(y) \geq t$ or $\mu(x) \geq t$ implies $x \in U(\mu, t)$. This proves that the level Subset a fuzzy k -ideal in X . is k -ideal of X .

Conversely, we show that μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X . Assume $U(\mu, t)$ is a k -ideal

of X , for any $t \in [0, 1]$, with $U(\mu, t) \neq \emptyset$. For any $x, y \in X$, let $\mu(x) = t_1$, $\mu(x * y) = t_2$, $\mu(y) = t_3$, ($t_i \in [0, 1]$). If we let $t = \min\{\max\{t_1, t_3\}, t_2\}$. Then $y \in U(\mu, t)$ and $(x * y) \vee (y * x) \in U(\mu, t)$ or

$x * y \in U(\mu, t)$. Since $U(\mu, t)$ is a k -ideal of X , we have $x \in U(\mu, t)$.

i.e, $\mu(x) \geq (\mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y * x)) \wedge \mu(y)$. Hence μ is a fuzzy k -ideal in X .

Theorem2. Let μ be a fuzzy set in BCI-algebra X . If μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X , then the set

$$J = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}, \text{ is a } k\text{-ideal of } X.$$

Proof. Assume that μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X , clearly $0 \in J$. Let $(x * y) \vee (y * x) \in J$ and $y \in J$.

Since μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X , for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\mu(x) \geq (\mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y * x)) \wedge \mu(y) = (\mu(0) \vee \mu(0)) \wedge \mu(0) = \mu(0).$$

Or $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$, but $\mu(x) < \mu(0)$. Hence $\mu(x) = \mu(0)$ or $x \in J$. This proves that J is a k -ideal in X .

Theorem3. Let I be a k -ideal of X . Then there exists a fuzzy k -ideal μ of X such that $U(\mu, t) = I$.

Proof. If we define a fuzzy subset of X by $\mu(x) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } x \in I \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

For some $t \in [0, 1]$. Then it follows that $U(\mu, t) = I$. For a given $s \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} U(\mu, 0) (= X) & \text{if } s = 0, \\ U(\mu, t) & (= I) \text{ if } s \leq t, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } t < s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Since I and X itself are k -ideals of X , it follows that every non-empty subset $U(\mu, s)$ of μ is k -ideal of X . By Theorem 3.1 μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X , proving the theorem.

Theorem 4. Let μ be a fuzzy k -ideal of X . Then two level subset k -ideal $U(\mu, s), U(\mu, t)$ (with $s < t$ in $[0, 1]$) of μ are equal if and only if there is no $x \in X$ such that $s \leq \mu(x) \leq t$.

Proof. Suppose $s < t$ in $[0, 1]$ and $U(\mu, s) = U(\mu, t)$. If there exists $x \in X$, such that $s \leq \mu(x) \leq t$, then $U(\mu, t)$ is a proper subset of $U(\mu, s)$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that there is no $x \in X$ such that $s \leq \mu(x) \leq t$. Note that $s < t$ implies $U(\mu, t) \subseteq U(\mu, s)$. If $x \in U(\mu, s)$, then $\mu(x) \geq s$, and $\mu(x) < t$, because $\mu < t$. Hence $x \in U(\mu, t)$ and $U(\mu, s) = U(\mu, t)$. This completes the proof. Given a fuzzy k -ideal of X , we denote by $\text{Im}(\mu)$ the image set of μ .

Theorem 5. Let μ be a fuzzy k -ideal of X . If $\text{Im}(\mu) = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ where $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$, then the family of k -ideals $U(\mu, t_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) constitutes the collection of all level ideals of μ .

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an onto homomorphism. Let v be a fuzzy k -ideal on Y . If $t \in [0, 1]$ with $t < t_1$, then $U(\mu, t) \subseteq U(\mu, t_1)$. Since $U(\mu, t_1) = X$, we have $U(\mu, t) = X$ and $U(\mu, t) = U(\mu, t_1)$. If $t \in [0, 1]$ with $t_i < t < t_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$), then there is no $x \in X$ such that $t < \mu(x) < t_{i+1}$. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that $U(\mu, t) = U(\mu, t_{i+1})$. This shows that for any $t \in [0, 1]$ with $t < \mu(0)$, the level ideal $U(\mu, t)$ is in $\{U(\mu, t_i)\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. This completes the proof.

Given any two sets X and Y , let μ be a fuzzy subset of BCI-algebra X , and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be any mapping. We define a fuzzy subset v on Y by

$$v(y) = \begin{cases} \sup \mu(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y), y \in Y, x \in f^{-1}(y) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and we call v the image of μ under f , written $f(\mu)$. For any fuzzy subset v on $f(X)$, we define a subset μ on X , by $\mu(x) = v(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$, and we call μ the pre image of v under which is denoted by $f^{-1}(v)$.

Theorem 6. An onto holomorphic pre image of fuzzy k -ideal is a fuzzy k -ideal.

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an onto homomorphism. Let v be a fuzzy k -ideal on Y and let μ be pre image of v under f . Then it was proved that μ is a fuzzy ideal of X . For any $x, y \in X$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &= v(f(x)) \geq (v(f(x) * f(y) \vee v(f(y) * f(x))) \wedge v(f(y))) = (v(f(x * y) \vee v(f(y * x))) \wedge v(f(y))) \\ &= (\mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y * x)) \wedge \mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Proving that μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X .

Theorem7, [4]. Let f be a mapping from a set X to a set Y and let μ be a fuzzy subset of X .
Then for every $t \in [0, 1]$

$$(f(\mu))_t = \bigcap_{0 < s < t} f(\mu_{t-s})$$

Theorem8. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an onto homomorphism. Let μ be a fuzzy k -ideal on X .
Then the holomorphic image $f(\mu)$ is fuzzy k -ideal of Y .

Proof. In view of theorem 3.1, it is sufficient be show that each non-empty level subset of $f(\mu)$ is k - Ideal of Y . Let $(f(\mu))_t$ be a non-empty level subset of $f(\mu)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$.
If $t = 0$ then $(f(\mu))_t = Y$. Assume $t \neq 0$, By Theorem 3.7, $(f(\mu))_t = \bigcap_{0 < s < t} f(\mu_{t-s})$
Hence $f(\mu)_t$ is non-empty for each $0 < s < t$, and so μ_{t-s} is a non-empty level of μ for every $0 < s < t$. Since μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of X . Since f is an onto homomorphism. $f(\mu_{t-s})$ is a k -ideal of Y . Hence $(f(\mu))_t$ being an intersection of a family of k -ideals is also a k -ideal of Y .
The proof is complete.

Definition 3.9: A k -ideal I of BCI-algebra X is said to be characteristic if $f(I) = I$ for all $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ where $\text{Aut}(X)$ is the set of all autoorphism of X . A fuzzy k -ideal μ of X is said to be a fuzzy characteristic if $\mu(f(x)) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$.

Theorem 3.10. Let μ be a fuzzy k -ideal of BCI-algebras X , and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an onto homomorphism. Then the mapping $\mu^f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, defined by $\mu^f(x) = \mu(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$, is a fuzzy k -ideal of X .

Proof. It was proved that μ^f is a fuzzy k -ideal of X , for any $x, y \in X$, we have;

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^f(x) &= \mu(f(x)) \geq (\mu(f(x) * f(y)) \vee \mu(f(y) * f(x))) \wedge \mu(f(y)) \\ &= (\mu(f(x * y)) \vee \mu(f(y * x))) \wedge \mu(f(y)) \\ &= (\mu^f(x * y) \vee \mu^f(y * x)) \wedge \mu^f(y). \end{aligned}$$

Proving that μ^f is a fuzzy k -ideal of X .

Theorem 9. Let μ be a fuzzy characteristic k -ideal of BCI-algebra X , then each level k -ideal of μ is characteristic.

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy characteristic k -ideal of BCI-algebra X . Let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$. For any $t \in [0, 1]$, If $y \in f(\mu_t)$, then $\mu(y) = \mu(f(x)) = \mu(x) \geq t$, for some $x \in \mu_t$ with $y = f(x)$. It follows that $y \in \mu_t$. Conversely, if $y \in \mu_t$, then $t < \mu(y) = \mu(f(x)) = \mu(x)$ for some $x \in X$ with $y = f(x)$. It follows that $y \in f(\mu_t)$. Hence $f(\mu_t) = \mu_t$.

Lemma1. Let μ be a fuzzy k -ideal of BCI-algebra X and Let $x \in X$, then $\mu(x) = t$ if and only if $x \in \mu_t$ and $x \notin \mu_s$ for all $s > t$.

Proof. Straight forward.

Theorem 10. Let μ be a fuzzy k -ideal of BCI-algebra X . If each level k -ideal of μ is characteristic, then μ is fuzzy characteristic.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$. If $\mu(x) = t \in [0, 1]$, Then by lemma3.12 $x \in \mu_t$ and $x \notin \mu_s$ for all $s > t$. Since each level k -ideal of μ is characteristic, $f(x) \in f(\mu_t) = \mu_t$.

Assume $\mu(f(x)) = s > t$. Then $f(x) \in \mu_s = f(\mu_s)$. Since f is one-to-one, it follows that $x \in \mu_s$, a contradiction. Hence $\mu(f(x)) = t = \mu(x)$. Showing that μ is fuzzy characteristic.

3 CONCLUSION

In this paper with the notion of fuzzy k -ideal of BCI-algebras, we discuss and review several results described in BCI-algebras. So we can get same results in BCK-algebras.

4 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions for

Improvement of the original manuscript

REFERENCES

- [1] J.Meng, Xiu-e Guo : On fuzzy ideal in BCK/BCI-algebras, Fuzzy sets and system (Inpress)
- [2] Chun et .al : Constructed an extension of a k -semi ring and studied a k -ideal of a k -semiring, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 61 (1994), No. 2, 137-142.
- [3] O.G.Xi : Fuzzy BCK-algebras, Math. Japon.36(1991), 935-942.
- [4] Y. B. Jun, X.L.Xin : Fuzzy prime ideal and invertible fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras, Fuzzy sets and systems. 117 (2001), 471-476.
- [5] W. A. Dubek and Y. B. Jun : Quasi p -ideals of quasi BCI-algebra, Quasi groups and Related Systems, 11 (2004), 25-38.
- [6] K.Hur,H.W.Kang and J.H.Ryou : Intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideals of a seimgroup, International Math. Forum, 2, (2006), No. 6, 257-274.
- [7] K.Iseki : On BCI-algebras, Math. Sem. Notes 8 (1980),125-130.
- [8] L. A. Zadeh : Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353..