



Vertex decomposability path complexes of trees

Seyed Mohammad Ajdani¹

Department of Mathematics, Zanjan Branch Islamic Azad University, Zanjan, Iran

Kamal Ahmadi

Department of Mathematics, Zanjan Branch Islamic Azad University, Zanjan, Iran

Abstract

A tree is called double starlike if it has exactly two vertices of degree greater than two. Let $H(p, n, q)$ denote the double starlike tree obtained by attaching p pendant vertices to one pendant vertex of the path P_n and q pendant vertices to the other pendant vertex of P_n . Also let $H(p, n)$ be graph obtained by attaching p pendant vertices to one pendant vertex of the path P_n . Let G be an undirected tree. We prove that $\Delta_t(G)$ is vertex decomposable for all $t \geq 2$ if and only if $G = H(p, n, q)$ or $G = H(p, n)$.

Keywords: Vertex decomposable, simplicial complex, Shellable

Mathematics Subject Classification [2010]: 13F20, 05E40, 13F55

1 Introduction

Let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where K is a field. Fix an integer $n \geq t \geq 2$ and let G be an undirected graph. A sequence x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t} of distinct vertices is called a **path** of length t if there are $t-1$ distinct edges e_1, \dots, e_{t-1} where e_j is a edge from x_{i_j} to $x_{i_{j+1}}$ or from $x_{i_{j+1}}$ to x_{i_j} . Then the path ideal of G of length t is the monomial ideal $I_t(G) = (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t} : x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t} \text{ is a path of length } t \text{ in } G)$ in the polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The distance $d(x, y)$ of two vertices x and y of a graph G is the length of the shortest path from x to y . Also we define the simplicial complex $\Delta_t(G)$ to be

$$\Delta_t(G) = \langle \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}\} : x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t} \text{ is a path of length } t \text{ in } G \rangle.$$

Path ideals of graphs were first introduced by Conca and De Negri [5] in the context of monomial ideals of linear type. In [6] it has been shown that, $\Delta_t(G)$ is a simplicial tree if G is a rooted tree and $t \geq 2$. Ajdani and Bulnes in [1] proved vertex decomposability path complexes of cycles. In this paper, we focus on the path complexes of trees. Throughout the paper, we mean by tree, an undirected tree and by a path, an undirected path. This paper is organized as follows. In next Section we recall several definitions and terminology which we need later. In Section 3, for all $t \geq 2$ we show that $\Delta_t(G)$ is vertex decomposable if and only if $G = H(p, n, q)$ or $G = H(p, n)$.

¹speaker

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and results which will be needed later.

Definition 2.1. A *simplicial complex* Δ over a set of vertices $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, is a collection of subsets of V , with the property that:

- (a) $\{x_i\} \in \Delta$, for all i ;
- (b) if $F \in \Delta$, then all subsets of F are also in Δ (including the empty set).

An element of Δ is called a *face* of Δ and complement of a face F is $V \setminus F$ and it is denoted by F^c . Also, the complement of the simplicial complex $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle$ is $\Delta^c = \langle F_1^c, \dots, F_r^c \rangle$. The *dimension* of a face F of Δ , $\dim F$, is $|F| - 1$ where, $|F|$ is the number of elements of F . A *non-face* of Δ is a subset F of V with $F \notin \Delta$. we denote by $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$, the set of all minimal non-faces of Δ . The maximal faces of Δ under inclusion are called *facets* of Δ . The *dimension* of the simplicial complex Δ , $\dim \Delta$, is the maximum of dimensions of its facets. If all facets of Δ have the same dimension, then Δ is called *pure*. Let $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F_1, \dots, F_q\}$ be the facet set of Δ . It is clear that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ determines Δ completely and we write $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_q \rangle$. A simplicial complex with only one facet is called a *simplex*. A simplicial complex Γ is called a *subcomplex* of Δ , if $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$.

For $v \in V$, the subcomplex of Δ obtained by removing all faces $F \in \Delta$ with $v \in F$ is denoted by $\Delta \setminus v$. That is,

$$\Delta \setminus v = \langle F \in \Delta : v \notin F \rangle.$$

The *link* of a face $F \in \Delta$, denoted by $\text{link}_\Delta(F)$, is a simplicial complex on V with the faces, $G \in \Delta$ such that, $G \cap F = \emptyset$ and $G \cup F \in \Delta$. The link of a vertex $v \in V$ is simply denoted by $\text{link}_\Delta(v)$.

$$\text{link}_\Delta(v) = \{F \in \Delta : v \notin F, F \cup \{v\} \in \Delta\}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let Δ be a simplicial complex over n vertices $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. For $F \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we set:

$$\mathbf{x}_F = \prod_{x_i \in F} x_i.$$

We define the *facet ideal* of Δ , denoted by $I(\Delta)$, to be the ideal of S generated by $\{\mathbf{x}_F : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)\}$. The *non-face ideal* or the *Stanley-Reisner ideal* of Δ , denoted by I_Δ , is the ideal of S generated by square-free monomials $\{\mathbf{x}_F : F \in \mathcal{N}(\Delta)\}$. Also we call $K[\Delta] := S/I_\Delta$ the *Stanley-Reisner ring* of Δ .

Definition 2.3. A simplicial complex Δ is recursively defined to be *vertex decomposable*, if it is either a simplex, or else has some vertex v so that,

- (a) Both $\Delta \setminus v$ and $\text{link}_\Delta(v)$ are vertex decomposable, and
- (b) No face of $\text{link}_\Delta(v)$ is a facet of $\Delta \setminus v$.

A vertex v which satisfies in condition (b) is called a *shedding vertex*.

Definition 2.4. A simplicial complex Δ is *shellable*, if the facets of Δ can be ordered F_1, \dots, F_s such that, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq s$, there exists some $v \in F_j \setminus F_i$ and some $l \in \{1, \dots, j-1\}$ with $F_j \setminus F_l = \{v\}$.

Definition 2.5. A graded S -module M is called *sequentially Cohen-Macaulay* (over K), if there exists a finite filtration of graded S -modules,

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M$$

such that each M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay, and the Krull dimensions of the quotients are increasing:

$$\dim(M_1/M_0) < \dim(M_2/M_1) < \dots < \dim(M_r/M_{r-1}).$$

A simplicial complex Δ is called (sequentially) Cohen-Macaulay over K , if the ring $K[\Delta] = S/I_\Delta$ is (sequentially) Cohen-Macaulay. A simplicial complex Δ is called disconnected, if the vertex set V of Δ is a disjoint union $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that no face of Δ has vertices in both V_1 and V_2 . Otherwise Δ is connected.

Remark 2.6. All Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes of positive dimension are connected.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\Delta_t(Pn)$ be a simplicial complex on the vertices $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $Pn = x_1, \dots, x_n$. Then $\Delta_t(Pn)$ is vertex decomposable for all $t \geq 2$.*

Proof. If $t = n$, then $\Delta_n(Pn)$ is a simplex which is vertex decomposable. Let $2 \leq t < n$ then one has

$$\Delta_t(Pn) = \langle \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}, \{x_2, \dots, x_{t+1}\}, \dots, \{x_{n-t+1}, \dots, x_n\} \rangle.$$

So $\Delta_t(Pn) \setminus x_n = \langle \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}, \{x_2, \dots, x_{t+1}\}, \dots, \{x_{n-t}, \dots, x_{n-1}\} \rangle$. Now we use induction on the number of vertices of Pn and by induction hypothesis $\Delta_t(Pn) \setminus x_n$ is vertex decomposable. On the other hand, it is clear that $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(Pn)}\{x_n\} = \langle \{x_{n-t+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}\} \rangle$. Thus $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(Pn)}\{x_n\}$ is a simplex which is not a facet of $\Delta_t(Pn) \setminus x_n$. Therefore $\Delta_t(Pn)$ is vertex decomposable. \square

3 Characterization of path complexes of trees

As the main result of this section, for all $t \geq 2$, we characterize all such trees whose $\Delta_t(G)$ is vertex decomposable. Let $H(p, n, q)$ denote the double starlike tree obtained by attaching p pendant vertices to one pendant vertex of the path Pn and q pendant vertices to the other pendant vertex of Pn . Also let $H(p, n)$ be graph obtained by attaching p pendant vertices to one pendant vertex of the path Pn .

Remark 3.1. Let $Pn = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a path on vertices $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $H(2, n)$ be a graph obtained by attaching two pendant vertices to pendant vertex x_n . Then $\Delta_t(H(2, n))$ is vertex decomposable for all $t \geq 2$.

Proof. By lemma 2.7 proof is trivial. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $Pn = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a path on vertices $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $H(p, n)$ be a graph obtained by attaching p pendant vertices to pendant vertex x_n . Then $\Delta_t(H(p, n))$ is vertex decomposable for all $t \geq 2$.*

Proof. We prove the proposition by induction on p the number of pendant vertices to pendant vertex x_n of Pn . If $p = 0$ or 1 then $H(p, n)$ is a path and by lemma 2.7 $\Delta_t(H(p, n))$ is vertex decomposable. If $p = 2$ then by remark 3.1 $\Delta_t(H(p, n))$ is vertex decomposable. Now let $p > 2$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$ be p pendant vertices to pendant vertex x_n of Pn then one has

$$H(p, n) \setminus \{y_1\} = H(p-1, n)$$

and

$$\Delta_t(H(p, n)) \setminus \{y_1\} = \Delta_t(H(p-1, n)).$$

Therefore by induction hypothesis $\Delta_t(H(p-1, n))$ is vertex decomposable. So $\Delta_t(H(p, n)) \setminus \{y_1\}$ is vertex decomposable. If $t = 3$ then we have

$$\text{link}_{\Delta_3(H(p, n))}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_{n-1}, x_n\}, \{y_2, x_n\}, \dots, \{y_p, x_n\} \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that $\text{link}_{\Delta_3(H(p, n))}\{y_1\}$ is vertex decomposable and y_1 is a shedding vertex. If $t = 2$ or $t > 3$, one has

$$\text{link}_{\Delta_t(H(p, n))}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_{n-t+2}, \dots, x_n\} \rangle.$$

Thus $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(H(p, n))}\{y_1\}$ is a simplex, which is not a facet of $\Delta_t(H(p, n)) \setminus \{y_1\}$, therefore $\Delta_t(H(p, n))$ is vertex decomposable. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p = 2$ and $q \geq 2$, Then $\Delta_t(H(2, n, q))$ is vertex decomposable for all $2 \leq t \leq n + 2$*

Proof. Let $H(2, n, q)$ denote the double starlike tree obtained by attaching two pendant vertices $\{y_1, y_2\}$ to pendant vertex x_1 of path P_n and $\{y'_1, \dots, y'_q\}$ be pendant vertices to pendant vertex x_n of P_n . So by proposition 3.2 $\Delta_t(H(2, n, q)) \setminus \{y_1\}$ is vertex decomposable. Now we prove that $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(H(2, n, q))}\{y_1\}$ is vertex decomposable. If $t = 3$ then $\text{link}_{\Delta_3(H(2, n, q))}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_1, y_2\} \rangle$ which is vertex decomposable. If $t = n + 2$ then

$$\text{link}_{\Delta_{n+2}(H(2, n, q))}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y'_1\}, \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y'_2\}, \dots, \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y'_q\} \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that $\text{link}_{\Delta_{n+2}(H(2, n, q))}\{y_1\}$ is vertex decomposable. If $t = 2$ or $4 \leq t \leq n + 1$ then we have $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(H(2, n, q))}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}\} \rangle$. Thus $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(H(2, n, q))}\{y_1\}$ is a simplex which is vertex decomposable. It is clear that y_1 is a shedding vertex. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let Q_1, Q_2 be two paths of maximum length k in tree G and y be a leaf of G such that $y \in Q_1 \cap Q_2$, $|Q_1 \cap Q_2| = L$. Then $\Delta_k(G)$ is not vertex decomposable.*

Proof. Suppose $Q_1 = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-L}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{L-1}, y$ and $Q_2 = y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_{k-L}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{L-1}, y$ be two paths of length k in G such that $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{L-1}, y\}$ and $\deg(y) = 1$. Since $\text{link}_{\Delta_k(G)}\{x_1, \dots, x_{L-1}, y\}$ is disconnected and pure of positive dimension. By remark 2.6 $\Delta_k(G)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay and hence $\Delta_k(G)$ is not vertex decomposable. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a double starlike tree such that $G = H(p, n, q)$. Then $\Delta_t(G)$ is vertex decomposable for all $2 \leq t \leq n + 2$.*

Proof. Let $G = H(p, n, q)$ denote the double starlike tree obtained by attaching p pendant vertices to one pendant vertex of the path P_n and q pendant vertices to the other pendant vertex of P_n . We prove the theorem by induction on p the number of pendant vertices to pendant vertex x_1 of P_n . If $p = 0$ or $p = 1$ then by proposition 3.2 $\Delta_t(G)$ is vertex decomposable. If $p = 2$ then by lemma 3.3 $\Delta_t(G)$ is vertex decomposable. Now let $p > 2$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$ be p pendant vertices to pendant vertex x_1 of P_n . Since $G \setminus \{y_1\}$ is again double starlike tree on $p - 1$ pendant vertices. Therefore by induction hypothesis $\Delta_t(G \setminus \{y_1\})$ is vertex decomposable. So $\Delta_t(G \setminus \{y_1\}) = \Delta_t(G) \setminus \{y_1\}$ is vertex decomposable. Let $t = 2$ then $\text{link}_{\Delta_2(G)}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_1\} \rangle$ is simplex and vertex decomposable. Let $t = 3$ then $\text{link}_{\Delta_3(G)}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_2, x_1\}, \{y_2, x_1\}, \dots, \{y_p, x_1\} \rangle$ is vertex decomposable. Let $3 < t \leq n + 1$ then $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(G)}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t-1}\} \rangle$ is simplex and vertex decomposable. Let $t = n + 2$ then $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(G)}\{y_1\} = \langle \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1\}, \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_2\}, \dots, \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_p\} \rangle$ is a path complex of a starlike tree which is vertex decomposable. It is easy to see that no face of $\text{link}_{\Delta_t(G)}\{y_1\}$ is a facet of $\Delta_t(G) \setminus \{y_1\}$. So $\Delta_t(G)$ is vertex decomposable. \square

Now, we are ready that prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a tree such that is not a path. Then $\Delta_t(G)$ is vertex decomposable for all $t \geq 2$ if and only if $G = H(p, n, q)$ or $G = H(p, n)$.*

Proof. (\implies) We prove by contradiction. Suppose $G \neq H(p, n, q)$ and $G \neq H(p, n)$. So there exists two paths of maximum length k in G which contain L common vertices such that one of these vertices is a leaf. Therefore by proposition 3.4 $\Delta_k(G)$ is not vertex decomposable which is a contradiction. (\impliedby) By proposition 3.2 and proposition 3.5 the proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] S. M. Ajdani, F. Bulnes, Algebraic Properties of the Path Complexes of Cycles, Adv. Math. 5, 1-8, (2019).
- [2] A. Björner, *Topological methods*, Handbook of combinatorics, Vol. 1, 2, 1819-1872, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (1995).
- [3] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, in: GTM 260, Springer, London, (2010).

-
- [4] J. Herzog, A. Soleyman Jahan and S. Yassemi, *Stanley decompositions and partitionable simplicial complexes*, J. Alger. Comb. **27**(2008), 113-125
- [5] A. Conca and E. De Negri, *M-sequences, graph ideals and ladder ideals of linear type*, J. Algebra **211** (1999), no. 2, 599-624.
- [6] J. He and A. Van Tuyl, *Algebraic properties of the path ideal of a tree*, Comm. Algebra. **38** (2010), 1725-1742.
- [7] M. Hachimori, *Decompositions of two dimensional simplicial complexes*. Discrete math, 308(11); 2308-2312, 2008
- [8] R. P. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology*. Invent. Math. **68**(1982), no 2, 175-193.
- [9] R. P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*. Second edition. Progress in Mathematics **41**, Birkhauser Boston 1996.
- [10] R. p. Stanley, *Positivity problems and conjectures in algebraic combinatorics*. In Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives, 295-319, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2000.

Email: majdani2@yahoo.com

Email: kamal.ahmadi.math@gmail.com