



## Anti Fuzzy Congruence on Product Lattices

Rasul Rasuli <sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University(PNU), P. O. Box 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran.

---

### Abstract

In this work, the concept of anti fuzzy congruence on lattice  $L$  is introduced. Also if  $K$  be another lattice, then the concept of anti fuzzy congruence on the product  $L \times K$  is discussed. Finally it is proved for every anti fuzzy congruence relation  $\mu$  on  $L \times K$ , the anti fuzzy congruences  $\mu_L$  and  $\mu_K$  can be defined on  $L$  and  $K$  respectively such that  $\mu = \mu_L \times \mu_K$ .

**Keywords:** Fuzzy set theory, lattices and related structures, congruence relations, direct product, isomorphisms.

**AMS Mathematical Subject Classification [2010]:** 03E72 , 03G10 , 08A30, 20K25, 05C60.

---

## 1 Introduction

Fuzzy set theory is a mathematical theory introduced by Zadeh [36] to deal with uncertain or vague notions, using values in the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  to indicate the specialist's uncertainty when evaluating the membership degree of an element to a given set. Lattice theory has been used to consider fuzzy logic in a more general framework. See, e.g., the works on  $L$ -fuzzy set theory [5],  $BL$ -algebras of Hajek [7] and Brouwerian lattices [34]. In the history of fuzzy mathematics, fuzzy relations were early considered to be useful in various applications, and have therefore been extensively investigated. For a contemporary general approach to fuzzy relations one should look in Belohlavek's book [1], and also to other general publications e.g., the books by Klir and Yuan [9] and Turunen [33]. Relational equations and applications are presented by Di Nola, Sessa, Pedrycz and Sanchezin [4], and some new approaches to fuzzy relations are given by Ignjatovic, Ciric and Bogdanovicin [2, 8]. Das [3] and Yijia [35] have introduced the concept of fuzzy congruences in the background of semigroups. In this paper we introduce anti fuzzy equivalence relation and anti fuzzy congruence on lattices. Also we investigate direct product of anti fuzzy congruences and prove that every anti fuzzy congruence on the product lattice  $L \times K$  is of the form  $\mu \times \nu$  where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are anti fuzzy congruences on  $L$  and  $K$  respectively. Also we consider conditions that the product of factor lattices  $L/\mu$  and  $K/\nu$  is isomorphic to the factor lattice  $(L \times K)/(\mu \times \nu)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>speaker

## 2 Anti fuzzy congruences

**Definition 2.1.** (See [6]) Let  $P$  be a nonempty set. A partial order  $P$  is a binary relation  $\leq$  on  $P$  such that, for all  $x, y, z \in P$ , the following conditions are hold:

- (1)  $x \leq x$  (reflexivity);
- (2)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$  (antisymmetry);
- (3)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$  imply  $x \leq z$  (transitivity).

A set  $P$  equipped with an order relation  $\leq$  is said to be an ordered set (or partially ordered set or poset).

**Definition 2.2.** (See [6]) A partially ordered set in which every pair of elements has a join (or least upper bound) and a meet (or greatest lower bound) is called a lattice.

**Definition 2.3.** (See [6]) Let  $L$  and  $K$  be lattices. Then map  $\varphi : L \rightarrow K$  is an isomorphism if  $\varphi$  is one-to-one, onto and if  $\varphi(a \wedge b) = \varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)$  and  $\varphi(a \vee b) = \varphi(a) \vee \varphi(b)$  for all  $a, b \in L$ .

**Definition 2.4.** (See [6]) Let  $L$  and  $K$  be lattices. Define

$\wedge : L \times K \rightarrow L \times K$  by  $(l_1, k_1) \wedge (l_2, k_2) = (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1 \wedge k_2)$  and  $\vee : L \times K \rightarrow L \times K$  by  $(l_1, k_1) \vee (l_2, k_2) = (l_1 \vee l_2, k_1 \vee k_2)$  for all  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . Then  $L \times K$  will be a lattice called the direct product of  $L$  and  $K$ .

**Definition 2.5.** (See [10]) Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set. A fuzzy set of  $X$ , we mean a function from  $X$  into  $[0, 1]$ . A fuzzy binary relation on  $X$  is a fuzzy set defined on  $X \times X$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy binary relation on  $X$  such that

- (1)  $\mu(x, x) = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\mu(x, y) = \mu(y, x)$ ;
- (3)  $\mu(x, z) \leq \inf_{y \in X} \max\{\mu(x, y), \mu(y, z)\}$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $\mu$  is called an anti fuzzy equivalence relation.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy equivalence relation on  $X$ . The similarity class for each  $x \in X$  is the fuzzy set  $\mu_x$  on  $X$ , in which the membership grade of each element  $y \in X$  is  $\mu(x, y)$ , i. e.,  $\mu_x(y) = \mu(x, y)$ . Then the similarity class for an element  $x$  represents the degree to which all the members of  $X$  are similar to  $x$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy equivalence relation on  $X$ . Then  $\mu_x = \mu_y$  if and only if  $\mu(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ . If  $\mu_x = \mu_y$ , then  $\mu_x(y) = \mu_y(y) = 0$  and then  $\mu(x, y) = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $\mu(x, y) = 0$ , then  $\mu_x(y) = 0 = \mu_y(y)$  and so  $\mu_x = \mu_y$ . □

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $X$  be a lattice and  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy equivalence relation on  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  is join compatible if

$$\mu(x_1 \vee x_2, y_1 \vee y_2) \leq \mu(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu(x_2, y_2)$$

and  $\mu$  is meet compatible if

$$\mu(x_1 \wedge x_2, y_1 \wedge y_2) \leq \mu(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu(x_2, y_2)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  in  $X$ . If  $\mu$  is both join compatible and meet compatible, then  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy congruence on  $X$ . Denote by  $AFC(X)$ , the set of all anti fuzzy congruences on lattice  $X$ .

**Example 2.10.** The fuzzy binary relation  $\mu$  defined on a lattice  $X$  by

$$\mu(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an anti fuzzy congruence on  $X$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** Let  $X$  be a lattice and  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy equivalence relation on  $X$ . Then

(1)  $\mu$  is join compatible if and only if  $\mu(x_1 \vee t, y_1 \vee t) \leq \mu(x_1, y_1)$ ,

(2)  $\mu$  is meet compatible if and only if  $\mu(x_1 \wedge t, y_1 \wedge t) \leq \mu(x_1, y_1)$ ,

for all  $x_1, y_1, t$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, t$  in  $X$ .

(1) If  $\mu$  is join compatible, then

$$\mu(x_1 \vee t, y_1 \vee t) \leq \mu(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu(t, t) = \mu(x_1, y_1) \vee 0 = \mu(x_1, y_1).$$

Conversely, let  $\mu(x_1, y_1) \geq \mu(x_1 \vee t, y_1 \vee t)$  and  $\mu(x_2, y_2) \geq \mu(x_2 \vee t, y_2 \vee t)$ . Then

$$\mu(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu(x_2, y_2) \geq \mu(x_1 \vee t, y_1 \vee t) \vee \mu(x_2 \vee t, y_2 \vee t) \geq \mu(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu(x_2, y_2).$$

(2) The proof is similar as (1). □

### 3 Direct product of anti fuzzy congruences

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $L$  and  $K$  be sets,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be binary fuzzy relations on  $L$  and  $K$  respectively. Define the fuzzy relation  $\mu \times \nu$  on  $L \times K$  by

$$(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) = \mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \nu(k_1, k_2)$$

for all  $l_1, l_2$  in  $L$  and  $k_1, k_2$  in  $K$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\mu \in AFC(L)$  and  $\nu \in AFC(K)$ . Then  $\mu \times \nu \in AFC(L \times K)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $l_1, l_2, l_3$  in  $L$  and  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  in  $K$ . Then

(1)

$$(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_1, k_1)) = \mu(l_1, l_1) \vee \nu(k_1, k_1) = 0 \vee 0 = 0.$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) &= \mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \nu(k_1, k_2) \\ &= \mu(l_2, l_1) \vee \nu(k_2, k_1) \\ &= (\mu \times \nu)((l_2, k_2), (l_1, k_1)). \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_3, k_3)) &= \mu(l_1, l_3) \vee \nu(k_1, k_3) \\
&\leq \inf_{l_2 \in L} \{\mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \mu(l_2, l_3)\} \vee \inf_{k_2 \in K} \{\nu(k_1, k_2) \vee \nu(k_2, k_3)\} \\
&= \inf_{(l_2, k_2) \in (L \times K)} \{\mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \mu(l_2, l_3) \vee \nu(k_1, k_2) \vee \nu(k_2, k_3)\} \\
&= \inf_{(l_2, k_2) \in (L \times K)} \{\mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \nu(k_1, k_2) \vee \mu(l_2, l_3) \vee \nu(k_2, k_3)\} \\
&= \inf_{(l_2, k_2) \in (L \times K)} \{(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) \vee (\mu \times \nu)((l_2, k_2), (l_3, k_3))\} \\
&= \inf_{(l_2, k_2) \in (L \times K)} \max\{(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)), (\mu \times \nu)((l_2, k_2), (l_3, k_3))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu \times \nu$  will be an anti fuzzy equivalence relation on  $L \times K$ .

Now By using Lemma 2.11 we prove that  $\mu \times \nu$  is meet and join compatible. Let  $(t_1, t_2) \in L \times K$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1) \vee (t_1, t_2), (l_2, k_2) \vee (t_1, t_2)) &= (\mu \times \nu)((l_1 \vee t_1, k_1 \vee t_2), (l_2 \vee t_1, k_2 \vee t_2)) \\
&= \mu(l_1 \vee t_1, l_2 \vee t_1) \vee \nu(k_1 \vee t_2, k_2 \vee t_2) \\
&\geq \mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \nu(k_1, k_2) \\
&= (\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2))
\end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\mu \times \nu$  is join compatible. Also

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1) \wedge (t_1, t_2), (l_2, k_2) \wedge (t_1, t_2)) &= (\mu \times \nu)((l_1 \wedge t_1, k_1 \wedge t_2), (l_2 \wedge t_1, k_2 \wedge t_2)) \\
&= \mu(l_1 \wedge t_1, l_2 \wedge t_1) \vee \nu(k_1 \wedge t_2, k_2 \wedge t_2) \\
&\geq \mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \nu(k_1, k_2) \\
&= (\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2))
\end{aligned}$$

and then  $\mu \times \nu$  is meet compatible. Therefore  $\mu \times \nu \in AFC(L \times K)$ . □

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\mu \in AFC(X)$  as in Example 2.10 Then  $\mu \times \mu$  is defined by

$$(\mu \times \mu)((x, y), (z, t)) = \mu(x, z) \vee \mu(y, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (z, t) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an anti fuzzy congruence on  $X \times X$  for all  $x, y, z, t \in X$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\beta \in AFC(L \times K)$ . Then for all  $l_1, l_2$  in  $L$  and  $k_1, k_2$  in  $K$  we have the following statements.

- (1)  $\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) = \beta((l_1, k_2), (l_2, k_2))$ .
- (2)  $\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_1, k_2)) = \beta((l_2, k_1), (l_2, k_2))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $l_1, l_2$  in  $L$  and  $k_1, k_2$  in  $K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) &\geq \beta((l_1, k_1) \vee (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_2), (l_2, k_1) \vee (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_2)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.11(1)}) \\
&= \beta((l_1 \vee l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1 \vee k_2), (l_2 \vee l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1 \vee k_2)) = \beta((l_1, k_1 \vee k_2), (l_2, k_1 \vee k_2)) \\
&\geq \beta((l_1, k_1 \vee k_2) \wedge (l_1 \vee l_2, k_2), (l_2, k_1 \vee k_2) \wedge (l_1 \vee l_2, k_2)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.11(2)}) \\
&= \beta((l_1 \wedge l_1 \vee l_2, k_1 \vee k_2 \wedge k_2), (l_2 \wedge l_1 \vee l_2, k_1 \vee k_2 \wedge k_2)) \\
&= \beta((l_1, k_2), (l_2, k_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly it can be proved that  $\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) \leq \beta((l_1, k_2), (l_2, k_2))$  and then  $\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) = \beta((l_1, k_2), (l_2, k_2))$ .

(2) The proof is similar to (1). □

Now we prove the converse of Proposition 3.2 On the other hand every anti fuzzy congruence relation on  $L \times K$  is of form  $\mu \times \nu$  as Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\beta \in AFC(L \times K)$ . Define binary fuzzy relations  $\beta_L$  on  $L$  and  $\beta_K$  on  $K$  as:*

$$\beta_L(l_1, l_2) = \beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_K(k_1, k_2) = \beta((l_1, k_1), (l_1, k_2))$$

for all  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . Then  $\beta = \beta_L \times \beta_K$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 3.4 we get that  $\beta_L$  and  $\beta_K$  are well defined. We prove that  $\beta_L$  is an anti fuzzy congruence relation on  $L$ . Let  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . Then

$$(1) \beta_L(l_1, l_1) = \beta((l_1, k_1), (l_1, k_1)) = 0.$$

$$(2) \beta_L(l_1, l_2) = \beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) = \beta((l_2, k_1), (l_1, k_1)) = \beta_L(l_2, l_1).$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_L(l_1, l_2) &= \beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) \\
&\leq \inf_{(l_3, k_3) \in (L \times K)} \{\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_3, k_3)) \vee \beta((l_3, k_3), (l_2, k_1))\} \\
&\leq \inf_{l_3 \in L} \{\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_3, k_1)) \vee \beta((l_3, k_1), (l_2, k_1))\} \\
&= \inf_{l_3 \in L} \{\beta_L((l_1, l_3)) \vee \beta_L((l_3, l_2))\} \\
&= \inf_{l_3 \in L} \max\{\beta_L((l_1, l_3)), \beta_L((l_3, l_2))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_L(l_1 \vee l_3, l_2 \vee l_3) &= \beta((l_1 \vee l_3, k_1), (l_2 \vee l_3, k_1)) \\
&= \beta((l_1, k_1) \vee (l_3, k_1), (l_2, k_1) \vee (l_3, k_1)) \\
&\leq \beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) \\
&= \beta_L(l_1, l_2).
\end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_L(l_1 \wedge l_3, l_2 \wedge l_3) &= \beta((l_1 \wedge l_3, k_1), (l_2 \wedge l_3, k_1)) \\
&= \beta((l_1, k_1) \wedge (l_3, k_1), (l_2, k_1) \wedge (l_3, k_1)) \\
&\leq \beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_1)) \\
&= \beta_L(l_1, l_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now (1)-(5) show that  $\beta_L \in AFC(L)$ . In a similar way we can prove that  $\beta_K \in AFC(K)$ . Next we must show that  $\beta = \beta_L \times \beta_K$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta_L \times \beta_K)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) &= \beta_L(l_1, l_2) \vee \beta_K(k_1, k_2) \quad (\text{by definition}) \\
&= \beta((l_1, k_3), (l_2, k_3)) \vee \beta((l_3, k_1), (l_3, k_2)) \quad (\text{by definition}) \\
&= \beta((l_1, k_1 \wedge k_2), (l_2, k_1 \wedge k_2)) \vee \beta((l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1), (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_2)) \quad (\text{Proposition 3.4}) \\
&\geq \beta((l_1, k_1 \wedge k_2) \vee (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1), (l_2, k_1 \wedge k_2) \vee (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_2)) \quad (\text{by definition}) \\
&= \beta((l_1 \vee l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1 \wedge k_2 \vee k_1), (l_2 \vee l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1 \wedge k_2 \vee k_2)) \\
&= \beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\beta_L \times \beta_K \geq \beta$ .

(†)

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) &\geq \beta((l_1, k_1) \wedge (l_1 \vee l_2, k_1 \wedge k_2), (l_2, k_2) \wedge (l_1 \vee l_2, k_1 \wedge k_2)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.11(1)}) \\
&= \beta((l_1 \wedge l_1 \vee l_2, k_1 \wedge k_1 \wedge k_2), (l_2 \wedge l_1 \vee l_2, k_2 \wedge k_1 \wedge k_2)) \\
&= \beta((l_1, k_1 \wedge k_2), (l_2, k_1 \wedge k_2)) \\
&= \beta_L(l_1, l_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) \geq \beta_L(l_1, l_2)$ .

(a) Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) &\geq \beta((l_1, k_1) \wedge (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1 \vee k_2), (l_2, k_2) \wedge (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1 \vee k_2)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.11(1)}) \\
&= \beta((l_1 \wedge l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1 \wedge k_1 \vee k_2), (l_2 \wedge l_1 \wedge l_2, k_2 \wedge k_1 \vee k_2)) \\
&= \beta((l_1 \wedge l_2, k_1), (l_1 \wedge l_2, k_2)) \\
&= \beta_K(k_1, k_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) \geq \beta_K(k_1, k_2)$ .

(b) Now

from (a) and (b) we get that

$$\beta((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) \geq \beta_L(l_1, l_2) \vee \beta_K(k_1, k_2) = (\beta_L \times \beta_K)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2))$$

and then  $\beta \geq \beta_L \times \beta_K$ .

(‡)

Then by (†) and (‡) we obtain that  $\beta = \beta_L \times \beta_K$ .

□

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\mu \in AFC(X \times X)$  as in Example 3.3 such that

$$\mu((x, y), (z, t)) = \mu(x, z) \vee \mu(y, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (z, t) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define the fuzzy binary relation  $\mu_1$  on  $X$  by

$$\mu_1(x, y) = \mu((x, z), (y, z)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, z) = (y, z) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and the fuzzy binary relation  $\mu_2$  on  $X$  by

$$\mu_2(z, t) = \mu((x, z), (x, t)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, z) = (x, t) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z = t \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and then  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ .

**Remark 3.7.** In the Propositions 3.2 and 3.5 it is also proved that if  $\mu \in AFC(L \times K)$ , then corresponding to each  $k \in K$  we can define  $\mu_L \in AFC(L)$  and corresponding to each  $l \in L$ , we can define  $\mu_K \in AFC(K)$  such that  $\mu = \mu_L \times \mu_K$  where  $\mu_L(l_1, l_2) = \mu((l_1, k), (l_2, k))$  and  $\mu_K(k_1, k_2) = \mu((l, k_1), (l, k_2))$  for all  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy congruence on lattice  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy equivalence relation and determines similarity classes. Let  $X/\mu$  denote the set of all similarity classes of  $X$  determined by the anti fuzzy congruence  $\mu$ . Suppose  $X/\mu = \{\mu_x \mid x \in X\}$  where  $\mu_x : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\mu_x(y) = \mu(x, y)$  for all  $y \in X$ . Now define two binary operations  $\underline{\vee}$  and  $\bar{\wedge}$  on  $X/\mu$  by  $\mu_x \underline{\vee} \mu_y = \mu_{x \vee y}$  and  $\mu_x \bar{\wedge} \mu_y = \mu_{x \wedge y}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $X/\mu$  together with the binary operations  $\underline{\vee}$  and  $\bar{\wedge}$  is a lattice, which we call the factor lattice of  $X$  corresponding to the anti fuzzy congruence  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $L, K, \mu, \nu$  and  $\mu \times \nu$  be as in Proposition 3.2 Then the factor lattice  $(L \times K)/(\mu \times \nu)$  corresponding to  $\mu \times \nu$  is isomorphic to the product of the corresponding factor lattices  $L/\mu$  and  $K/\nu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L/\mu = \{\mu_l \mid l \in L\}$  and  $K/\nu = \{\nu_k \mid k \in K\}$ . Moreover let

$$(L \times K)/(\mu \times \nu) = \{(\mu \times \nu)_{(l,k)} \mid (l, k) \in L \times K\}$$

and define the map

$$\varphi : L/\mu \times K/\nu \rightarrow (L \times K)/(\mu \times \nu) \quad \text{by} \quad \varphi(\mu_l, \nu_k) = (\mu \times \nu)_{(l,k)}.$$

Let  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . First we show that  $\varphi$  is well defined. Let  $(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{k_1}) = (\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{k_2})$  then  $\mu_{l_1} = \mu_{l_2}$  and  $\nu_{k_1} = \nu_{k_2}$ . From Lemma 2.8 we have that  $\mu(l_1, l_2) = 0$  and  $\nu(k_1, k_2) = 0$  and so  $(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) = \mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \nu(k_1, k_2) = 0 \vee 0 = 0$ . Now Lemma 2.8 conclude  $(\mu \times \nu)_{(l_1, k_1)} = (\mu \times \nu)_{(l_2, k_2)}$ . Next we prove that  $\varphi$  is one to one. If  $(\mu \times \nu)_{(l_1, k_1)} = (\mu \times \nu)_{(l_2, k_2)}$ , then  $(\mu \times \nu)((l_1, k_1), (l_2, k_2)) = 0$  and so  $\mu(l_1, l_2) \vee \nu(k_1, k_2) = 0$ . Then  $\mu(l_1, l_2) = 0 = \nu(k_1, k_2)$  and by Lemma 2.8 we obtain  $\mu_{l_1} = \mu_{l_2}$  and  $\nu_{k_1} = \nu_{k_2}$  and  $(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{k_1}) = (\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{k_2})$ . It is clearly that  $\varphi$  is onto. Finally we prove that  $\varphi$  is a lattice homomorphism. Let  $(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{k_1}), (\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{k_2}) \in L/\mu \times K/\nu$  and  $\underline{\vee}(\bar{\wedge})$  be the join(meet) in factor lattice. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi((\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{k_1}) \vee (\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{k_2})) &= \varphi(\mu_{l_1} \vee \mu_{l_2}, \nu_{k_1} \vee \nu_{k_2}) \\
&= \varphi(\mu_{l_1 \vee l_2}, \nu_{k_1 \vee k_2}) \quad (\text{by Definition 3.8}) \\
&= (\mu \times \nu)_{(l_1 \vee l_2, k_1 \vee k_2)} \\
&= (\mu \times \nu)_{(l_1, k_1) \vee (l_2, k_2)} \\
&= (\mu \times \nu)_{(l_1, k_1)} \vee (\mu \times \nu)_{(l_2, k_2)} \\
&= \varphi(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{k_1}) \vee \varphi(\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{k_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\varphi((\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{k_1}) \bar{\wedge} (\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{k_2})) = \varphi(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{k_1}) \bar{\wedge} \varphi(\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{k_2}).$$

Therefore  $\varphi$  is a lattice homomorphism and proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4 Open problem

Norms were introduced in the framework of probabilistic metric spaces. However, they are widely applied in several other fields, e.g., in fuzzy set theory, fuzzy logic, and their applications. Now one can investigate norms over them and obtain some new results as author by using norms, investigated some properties of fuzzy algebraic structures [11] - [32].

**Acknowledgment.** We would like to thank the referees for carefully reading the manuscript and making several helpful comments to increase the quality of the paper.

## References

- [1] R. Belohlavek, *Fuzzy Relational Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [2] M. Ciric, J. Ignjatovic and S. Bogdanovic, *Uniform fuzzy relations and fuzzy functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **160** (2009), 1054-1081.
- [3] P. Das, *Lattice of fuzzy congruences in inverse semigroups*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **91**(1997), 399-408.
- [4] A. Di Nola, S. Sessa, W. Pedrycz and E. Sanchez, *Fuzzy Relation Equations and Their Applications to Knowledge Engineering*, in: *Theory and Decision Libr., Ser. D*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1989.
- [5] J. Goguen, *L-fuzzy sets*, Mathematics Analysis and Applications, **18**(1967), 145-174.
- [6] G. Gratzer, *Lattice Theory: Foundation*, University of Manitoba, Canada, 2011.
- [7] P. Hajek, *Basic fuzzy logic and BL-algebras*, Soft Computing, **2**(1998), 124-128.
- [8] J. Ignjatovic, M. Ciric and S. Bogdanovic, *On the greatest solutions to weakly linear systems of fuzzy relation inequalities and equations*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **161** (2010), 3081-3113.
- [9] G. J. Klir and B. Yuan, *Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic*, Prentice Hal, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1995.
- [10] D. S. Malik and J. N. Mordeson, *Fuzzy Commutative Algebra*, World Science publishing Co.Pte.Ltd.,(1995).



- 
- [11] R. Rasuli, *Fuzzy Sub-vector Spaces and Sub-bivector Spaces under  $t$ -Norms*, General Letters in Mathematics, **5**(2018), 47-57.
- [12] R. Rasuli, *Conorms over conjugates and generalized characteristics of anti  $Q$ -fuzzy subgroups*, 3<sup>rd</sup> national Conference on Management and Fuzzy Systems, University of Eyvanekey, Eyvanekey, Iran, March 2021.
- [13] R. Rasuli, *Fuzzy Relations on Modules under  $T$ -norms*, The Fourth International Conference on Soft Computing(CSC), University of Guilan, December 29-30, 2021.
- [14] R. Rasuli, M. M. Moatamedi nezhad and H. Naraghi, *Anti Fuzzy  $SU$ -subalgebra under conorms*, The Third National Congress on Mathematics and Statistics Conbad Kavous University, 2021.
- [15] R. Rasuli, M. M. Moatamedi nezhad and H. Naraghi,  *$S$ -norms over anti fuzzy implicative ideals, anti fuzzy positive imoplicative ideals in  $BCK$ -Algebras*, The Third National Congress on Mathematics and Statistics Conbad Kavous University, 2021.
- [16] R. Rasuli, *Fuzzy congruence on product lattices under  $T$ -norms*, Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences, **42**(2)(2021), 333-343.
- [17] R. Rasuli, *Intuitionistic fuzzy congruences on product lattices under norms*, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, **24**(5)(2021), 1281-1304.
- [18] R. Rasuli, *Conorms over level subsets and translations of anti  $Q$ -fuzzy Subgroups*, International Journal of Mathematics and Computation, **32**(2)(2021), 55-67.
- [19] R. Rasuli, *Norms on intuitionistic fuzzy muligroups*, Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research, **31**(3)(2021), 339-362.
- [20] R. Rasuli, *Norms on intuitionistic fuzzy congruence relations on rings*, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, **27**(3)(2021), 51-68.
- [21] R. Rasuli, *Norms on intuitionistic fuzzy  $SU$ -subalgebras*, Scientia Magna, **16**(1)(2021), 84-96.
- [22] R. Rasuli, *Bifuzzy  $d$ -algebras under norms*, Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications, **3**(4)(2021), 63-83.
- [23] R. Rasuli, *Strongest relation, cosets and middle cosets of  $AQFSC(G)$* , Eng. Appl. Sci. Lett. (EASL), **4**(3)(2021), 1-7.
- [24] R. Rasuli,  *$S$ -norms on anti  $Q$ -fuzzy subgroups*, Open J. Discret. Appl. Math., **4**(3)(2021), 1-9.
- [25] R. Rasuli, *Intuitionistic fuzzy congruences on product lattices*, J. of Ramannujan Society of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, **9**(1)(2021), 125-144.
- [26] R. Rasuli,  *$t$ -norms over fuzzy ideals (implicative, positive implicative) of  $BCK$ -algebras*, Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications, **4**(2)(2022), 17-34.
- [27] R. Rasuli,  *$T$ -fuzzy subbigroups and normal  $T$ -fuzzy subbigroups of bigroups*, J. of Ramannujan Society of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, **9**(2)(2022), 165-184.

- [28] R. Rasuli, M. A. Hashemi and B. Taherkhani, *S-norms and Anti fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras*, 10th National Mathematics Conference of the Payame Noor University, Shiraz, May, 2022.
- [29] R. Rasuli, B. Taherkhani and H. Naraghi, *T-fuzzy SU-subalgebras*, 10th National Mathematics Conference of the Payame Noor University, Shiraz, May, 2022.
- [30] R. Rasuli, *A study of T-fuzzy multigroups and direct preoduct of them*, 1th National Conference on Applied Reserches in Basic Sciences( Mathematics, Chemistry and Physics) held by University of Ayatolla Boroujerdi, Iran, during May 26-27, 2022.
- [31] R. Rasuli, *S - (M,N)-fuzzy subgroups*, 1th National Conference on Applied Reserches in Basic Sciences( Mathematics, Chemistry and Physics) held by University of Ayatolla Boroujerdi, Iran, during May 26-27, 2022.
- [32] R. Rasuli, *Intuitionistic fuzzy BCI-algebras (implicative ideals, closed implicative ideals, commutative ideals) under norms*, Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications, **4(3)**(2022), 17-34.
- [33] E. Turunen, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [34] Z. D. Wang and Y. D. Yu, *Pseudo t-norms and implication operators on a complete brouwerian lattice*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **132**(2002), 113-124.
- [35] T. Yijia, *Fuzzy congruences on a regular semigroup*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **117**(2001), 447-453.
- [36] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy probabilities*, Information Processing and Management, **20**(1984), 363-372.

e-mail: rasulirasul@yahoo.com